PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 16-169	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No. PCT/JP2004/005618	International filing date (day/month/year) 20 April 2004 (20.04.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or no G06F 17/60	ational classification and IPC	21 April 2003 (21.04.2003)			
Applicant	HONDA MOTOR CO., LTD.				
 This report is the international prelim Authority under Article 35 and transr 	inary examination report, established by this nitted to the applicant according to Article 3	s International Preliminary Examining 6.			
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	3 sheets, including this cover s				
3. This report is also accompanied by Al	NEXES, comprising:	iheet.			
	o the International Bureau) a total of	at a second			
sheets of the descri	ption, claims and/or drawings which have be				
sheets which supers beyond the disclosu Supplemental Box.	rede earlier sheets, but which this Authority re in the international application as filed, a	considers contain an amendment that goes as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the			
b (sent to the International readable form only, as indi Administrative Instructions)	Bureau only) a total of (indicate typ , containing a sequence listing cated in the Supplemental Box Relating to	be and number of electronic carrier(s)) g and/or tables related thereto, in computer Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the			
4. This report contains indications relating	g to the following items:				
Box No. I Basis of the repo	rt				
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establishmer	nt of opinion with regard to novelty invention	10 at a 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;					
Box No. VI Certain document					
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
Box No. VIII Certain observation	ns on the international application				
te of submission of the demand	Date of completing				
15 February 2005 (15.02.20	Date of completion of t 05) 10 Ma	this report arch 2005 (10.03.2005)			
me and mailing address of the IPEA/JP	Authorized officer				
esimile No.	Telephone No.				

Translation

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

PCT/JP2004/005618

Box N	0. I	Basis of the report				
1. Wit	h regard	I to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless				
	This which	report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, this language of a translation furnished for the purpose of:				
l		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))				
1		publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)				
}		international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)				
		Control Contro				
	are not The i	I to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" annexed to this report): International application as originally filed/furnished				
	the de	scription:				
	pages	, as originally filed/furnished				
	pages	received by this Authority on				
	pages	received by this Authority on				
	the cla	aims:				
	pages	as originally filed/from include				
	pages	, as originally filed/furnished , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19				
	pages'	received by this Authority on				
	pages'					
	the dra	awings:				
	pages					
	pages*	, as originally filed/furnished				
	pages'	1000 red by this Additionly on				
		sociote by this Adminity of				
L_J	a sequ	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.				
3. []	The an	nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
		he description, pages				
		he claims, Nos.				
		he drawings, sheets/figs				
	Ħ,	he sequence listing (and the list).				
	H.	he sequence listing (specify):				
	، لــا	ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):				
4. 🗌	(Rule 7	port has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box 0.2(c)). The description, pages				
	☐ ti	ne sequence listing (specify):				
	☐ a	ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):				
		so soquence nating (apecify).				
k Isiaa	1 ann!					
ıj item	4 арри	es, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/005618

I. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-4	YES
	Claims		МО
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-4	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-4	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

[Documents cited in the ISR]

Document 1

JP, 2002-56258, A (Ricoh Company, Ltd.)

February 20, 2002 (02.20.02), Full text, Figs. 1-27

Document 2

JP, 2000-251001, A (Hitachi, Ltd.)

September 14, 2000 (09.14.00), Full text, Figs. 1-8

[Explanation]

The inventions described in claims 1-3 do not appear to involve an inventive step based on document 1 cited in the ISR. Document 1 does not describe client scheduling means or staff individual schedule creation means. However, in consideration of the description for displaying a list of schedules arranged by staff, sheet, client and the like, this examination finds that document 1 suggests each means Therefore, adopting the present constitution could be easily conceived of by a party skilled in the art.

The invention described in claim 4 does not appear to involve an inventive step based on documents 1 and 2 cited in the ISR. Document 1 does not disclose technical means for setting a follow staff. However, the invention of the present application and the invention described in document 2 adopt similar technical means with a purpose of scheduling for handling clients, etc. Therefore, using the technical means for handling agent-in-charge sales described in the present document 2 for the constitution of the invention of the present application could be easily conceived of by a party skilled in the art.